

☒ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL

☐ USE ONLY

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000800150009-2

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

file
5.2477

SUBJECT: (Optional)			
FROM: Chief, Procurement Management Staff, OL 2G31 Page		EXTENSION 8-8167	NO. DATE 6 JUL 1976
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	
1. Office of Legislative Counsel Attn: XXXXXXXXXX 7D35 Headquarters			<p>Bill:</p> <p>1. I know of no organization or firm which (1) would qualify as a lobbyist and (2) communicates on or is involved with any of our procurement activities. If we then are concerned only with communications from lobbyists, the proposed legislation may not be a problem for Agency procurement. I am not aware of any of our contractors utilizing the services of a lobbyist to intercede on his behalf and usually our security constraints would avoid such action. If such third action did occur, we could have a problem.</p> <p>2. If the test of what should be reported is based on the nature of the correspondence then I believe we should be concerned and request deletion or modification of certain language. Section 3(e)3 is too broad and could include many items of routine correspondence received from our contractors. These correspondence could include such mundane subjects as an argument for pursuing a particular technical course of action, a time extension to a contract, revision of contract planning, etc. These would not appear to be matters of interest or concern to Congress. Moreover, as you remember from your briefing on Agency contracts, many of our associations with our contractors are classified as are substantive matters. Establishing clearances and handling reporting on routine contract matters would be costly and cumbersome.</p>
2. STATINTL			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			

party

(Over)

We believe that the language at Section 3(e)(3)(A)(B) is too broad and not consistent with the intent of the act, and that the language should be removed.



STATINTL

CLASSIFIED

INTERNAL
USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/30 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000800150009-2

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Office of Legislative Counsel
7D35 HQ

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

17 June 1976

25X1A

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

O/Logistics AEO/OL
~~1237 Ames~~

18 JUN 1976

WJ

2.

2G 20B Page
EO/OL21
18 JUN 1976

S

3.

DD/L

4.

D/L

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Attached is the text of S. 2477, the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1976, as it was passed by the Senate on 15 June. As it emerged originally from the Senate Government Operations Committee, the bill did not present us with any problems. However, there were numerous floor amendments to the bill in the course of Senate consideration. The provision which I am particularly concerned about is section 3(e)(3), which resulted from a Kennedy floor amendment. Please review and let us know if this provision or any other in the act adversely affects Agency interests. The House has not considered lobbying legislation, but is planning to do so in the future.

Office of Legislative Counsel

STATINTL

OL 6 3151

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

INTERNAL
USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: Office of Legislative Counsel

EXTENSION: [REDACTED]

NO. DATE 23 July 1976 25X1A

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. OGC Attn: [REDACTED] STATINTL		7-27-76		<p>Attached is a proposed unsolicited report to a Subcommittee of the House Judiciary Committee which is considering lobbying legislation. Among the bills the Subcommittee is considering is S.2477, which recently passed the Senate. The main purpose of this report is to direct the Committee's attention to problems by Section 3(e)(3) of that bill. The Subcommittee is meeting early next week for mark-up session, therefore, this must be handled on a priority basis. Please review the report and provide us with any comments you may have.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>Office of Legislative Counsel STATINTL</p> <p><u>CONCUR</u> (WJA)</p>
2.				
3. OLC Attn: [REDACTED] STATINTL		7-26-76	(WJA)	
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				